Cl **00**   *SC* **0**   *P* **0**   *L* **0**   # 16
Thompson, Geoffrey   GraCaSI Standards Ad

Comment Type **GR**   *Comment Status* **R**
*** Comment submitted with the file 62999300003-comments 2.xls attached ***

I have given up uploading my spreadsheet after an hour of work. I will e-mail it to the Task Force Chair. The level of inconvenience and burden that my ballot imposes on volunteer reviewers of draft standards is really unacceptable and constitutes an abuse and disappreciation of volunteer help. Please feel free to pass this comment on to staff

SuggestedRemedy
FIX myBallot so that it is not so user hostile as well as resolve my comments against the draft. (Fixing myBallot is not a "Must Be Satisfied" comment on this ballot. It is not appropriate to handicap this ballot further with the problems of the tools that are imposed on them.)

Response   *Response Status* **W**
REJECT. This comment cannot be resolved with a change to the draft standard.

Cl **01**   *SC* **1**   *P* **15**   *L* **48**   # 17
Thompson, Geoffrey   GraCaSI Standards Ad

Comment Type **ER**   *Comment Status* **R**
The following text is obsolete: "Today and in the context of SNMP management and SMIv2 MIB modules, "Ethernet", "Ethernet-like", and "IEEE 802.3" are synonymous and interchangeable in the marketplace."

SuggestedRemedy
Change text to something similar to: As of the approval of this standard, these differences have disappeared. 802.3 and Ethernet are now fully synonymous.

Response   *Response Status* **W**
REJECT. The text is not obsolete, and there is no meaningful difference between the approved text in the draft and the proposed change.

Cl **02**   *SC* **2**   *P* **16**   *L* **4**   # 18
Thompson, Geoffrey   GraCaSI Standards Ad

Comment Type **ER**   *Comment Status* **R**
The purpose is not accurate. This document is not the referenced "machine readable format".

SuggestedRemedy
Change to something similar to: The purpose of the standard is to publish the SMIv2 and GDMO MIB module specifications in a single document that is separate from IEEE Std 802.3, that also provide access to the MIB modules in machine-readable format.

Response   *Response Status* **W**
REJECT. There is no meaningful difference between the approved text (which also matches the approved PAR) and the proposed change. Subclauses of the draft are, in fact, published in a machine-readable format to provide access to the MIB modules.

Cl **02**   *SC* **2**   *P* **16**   *L* **4**   # 19
Thompson, Geoffrey   GraCaSI Standards Ad

Comment Type **TR**   *Comment Status* **R**
Text implies that this standard supplies the GDMO in machine readable format. I find no information as to how to access such information.

SuggestedRemedy
Provide access to machine readable versions of GDMO as promised in 1.2

Response   *Response Status* **W**
REJECT. The text implies that the GDMO "can be published in a machine-readable format", but makes no promise that it is. No user of machine readable GDMO has been identified, either now, or at any time in the past that the committee is aware of. The SMIv2 modules, on the other hand, have numerous users, and machine readable SMIv2 modules are supplied with the draft.

Cl **03**   *SC* **3**   *P* **21**   *L* **4**   # 21
Thompson, Geoffrey   GraCaSI Standards Ad

Comment Type **ER**   *Comment Status* **R**
Dictionary reference is out of date

SuggestedRemedy
Update to refer to IEEE Online Glossary. Change biblio entry to match

Response   *Response Status* **W**
REJECT. The current cititation and bibliographic reference have been approved by the IEEE SA editorial staff. If the staff wants to change the citation or bibliographic entry they may of course do so during preparation for publication.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open   W/written   C/closed   U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 1 of 2
Comment ID # **21**
3/17/2011 12:01:35 AM

Cl **00**   *SC* **0**   *P* **373**   *L* **18**   # 23

Thompson, Geoffrey   GraCaSI Standards Ad

Comment Type   **TR**   *Comment Status*   **A**

The discussion is about the difference between 2 different and obsolete arc roots. There is no mention of the currently preferred arc root of org ieee(111) standards-association-numbers-series-standards(2)lan-man-stds(802) ieee802dot3(3) ...

SuggestedRemedy

Revise and include discussion of currently preferred arc root -OR- provide some rationale as to why this is not being done so that it doesn't look like an oversight.

Response   *Response Status*   **W**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add the following note on line 22: NOTE-The arcs defined in this annex have not been updated to conform to the currently preferred root of "org ieee(111) standards-association-numbers-series-standards(2) lan-man-stds(802) ieee802dot3(3)" because the GDMO object definitions are of historical value only, and are not recommended for current or future implementations.

Cl **00**   *SC* **0**   *P* **373**   *L* **18**   # 24

Thompson, Geoffrey   GraCaSI Standards Ad

Comment Type   **TR**   *Comment Status*   **R**

All registration arcs from here forward in the draft are not consistent with current preferred preferred practice.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the arc registration points so that they are consistent with the rest of the document

Response   *Response Status*   **W**

REJECT. The comment refers to arc assignments within the Guidelines for Definition of Managed Objects (GDMO) annex of the draft standard. The arc assignments were last updated in 2005 in accordance with the assignment policy that was in place at that time. Given that the GDMO is likely to be deprecated and eventually deleted in a future draft (since we now have SMIv2 modules) there seems to be little value in updating all of the myriad assignments. There is also a signifcant risk of introducing errors in the course of updating the assignments, which might be difficult to detect given the relatively crude state of GDMO syntax checking tools.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Comment ID   *Comment ID #*   **24**

Page 2 of 2
3/17/2011 12:01:35 AM