

802.1 Motions and supporting material
07/2012 San Diego

Motion:

EC to take the steps necessary to apply for corporate membership of the IEEE-SA for P802, in order to facilitate P802 involvement in entity projects. EC approves the allocation of funds to pay the advanced corporate membership fee.

Proposed: Jeffree

Seconded:

The fee structure is described here: <https://standards.ieee.org/membership/index.html> I believe that 802 would fall under the lowest tier, so the fee payable would be \$3500, plus ~\$3000pa to participate in the 1905.1 committee.

MOTION

- 802.1 requests approval by IEEE 802 LMSC to form a Study Group on enabling the use of 802.11 (including consideration of infrastructure BSS, PBSS, and IBSS associations) as general transit links capable of supporting 802.1 bridging, with the intent of creating a PAR and five criteria.
- Proposed: Finn
- Second: mack-crane
- For__36__Against_0__Abstain_5__

- EC proposed: Jeffree Second: Thaler
- For____Against____Abstain_____

MOTION

- 802.1 requests conditional EC approval to forward P802.1Q/Cor-2 to RevCom
- Proposed: parsons
- Second: messenger
- For_31___Against_0___Abstain__0___

- EC proposed: Jeffree Second: Thaler
- For____Against___Abstain_____

Supporting material: P802.1Q/Cor-2

BALLOT OPEN DATE: 30-May-2012

BALLOT CLOSE DATE: 29-Jun-2012

TYPE: New

DRAFT #: D2.0

COMMENTS: 16

MUST BE SATISFIED COMMENTS: 3

RESPONSE RATE

This ballot has met the 75% returned ballot requirement.

79 eligible people in this ballot group.

66 affirmative votes

3 negative votes with comments (1 of these had no “required” comments)

0 negative votes without comments

2 abstention votes: (Lack of expertise: 1, Lack of time: 1)

71 votes received = 89% returned

2% abstention

APPROVAL RATE

The 75% affirmation requirement is being met.

66 affirmative votes

3 negative votes with comments

69 votes = 95% affirmative

Supporting material: P802.1Q/Cor-2

- Recirculation ballot will close by mid-August
- Possible (but unlikely) second recirc in late August/early September if there are comments on R1.
- Outstanding “required” comments from Disapprove voters:

Comment Type GR

The editing directions mention inserting list item f), but there is also a new list item g) shown.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editing directions to show insertion of both list item f) and list item g).

ACCEPT.

Comment Type TR

*** Comment submitted with the file 76221700003-12-0432-01-00aa-Changes to 802_1Q.pptx attached ***

Annex C of 802.1Q-2011 defines the DMN implementation requirements for IEEE 802.11aa. During the comment resolution process of P802.11aa, some MIB attributes, MLME primitives and frames were renamed.

SuggestedRemedy

Hopefully there is an attachement with the comment describing the required changes. If not. have a look at <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/12/11-12-0432-01-00aa-changes-to-802-1q.pptx>

ACCEPT.

MOTION

- 802.1 requests EC approval to submit P802.1AC to RevCom.
- Proposed: Haddock Second: Messenger
- For__35__Against_0__Abstain__1__
- EC proposed: Jeffree Second: Thaler
- For____Against____Abstain_____

Supporting material: P802.1AC

BALLOT OPEN DATE: 28-Jun-2012

BALLOT CLOSE DATE: 13-Jul-2012

TYPE: New

DRAFT #: D2.1

BALLOTS RECEIVED: 2

VOTE CHANGES: 1

COMMENTS: 1

MUST BE SATISFIED COMMENTS: 1

RESPONSE RATE

This ballot has met the 75% returned ballot requirement.

95 eligible people in this ballot group.

70 affirmative votes

1 negative votes with comments

0 negative votes without comments

3 abstention votes: (Lack of time: 1, Other: 2)

74 votes received = 77% returned

4% abstention

APPROVAL RATE

The 75% affirmation requirement is being met.

70 affirmative votes

1 negative votes with comments

71 votes = 98% affirmative

Outstanding Required comments supporting 1 Disapprove ballot:

http://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/Exec_files/802-1AC-Required.pdf

MOTION

- 802.1 requests EC approval to submit P802.1AEbw D1.0 to Sponsor Ballot.
- Proposed: Seaman Second: Randall
- For__31__Against__0_Abstain__1__

- EC proposed: Jeffree Second: Thaler
- For_____Against_____Abstain_____

Supporting material: P802.1AEbw

P802.1AEbw D1.0 (MAC Security: Amendment— Extended Packet Numbering) passed WG & WG recirc ballots:

68 voters, 47 responding (69% response),

28 approve (100%),

0 disapprove (no negative comments),

19 abstentions.

MOTION

- 802.1 requests EC conditional approval for forwarding P802 O&A to Sponsor ballot.
- Proposed: finn Second: Gray
- For: 26 Against: 0 Abstain: 0

- EC proposed: Jeffree Second: Thaler
- For_____Against____Abstain_____

Supporting material: P802 O&A

Yes 27 79.41%

No 7 20.59%

Abstain 8 19.05%

No. of Voters 67

Voters responding 42 62.69%

commenting 7

Recirculation ballot in September timeframe. Possible 2nd recirc in October.

Comment/disposition summary:

<http://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2012/802-rev-d1-4-pdis-v03.ods>

Motion

- 802.1 requests EC approval to forward the P802.1Q Revision PAR to NesCom.
- Proposed: Parsons
Second: gray
- For: 18 Against: 0 Abstain: 0

- EC Proposed: Jeffree Second: Thaler
- For: Against: Abstain:

Supporting material: P802.1Q-REV

Draft par circulated >48 hours before EC meeting

Text of draft PAR:

<http://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2012/new-q-revision-draft-par-0712.pdf>

Motion

- 802.1 requests EC approval to forward the P802.1AB Corrigendum 1 PAR to NesCom.
- Proposed: Parsons
Second: gray
- For: 29 Against: 0 Abstain: 1

- EC Proposed: Jeffree Second: Thaler
- For: Against: Abstain:

Supporting material: P802.1AB Cor-1

Draft par circulated >48 hours before EC meeting

Text of draft PAR:

<http://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2012/new-ab-2009-cor-1-draft-par-0712.pdf>

Motion

- 802.1 requests EC approval to withdraw the P802.1AXbq PAR.
- Proposed: Parsons
Second: finn
- For: 32 Against: 0 Abstain: 0

- EC Proposed: Jeffree Second: Thaler
- For: Against: Abstain:

Supporting material: P802.1AXbq

Intent to do this was announced >48 hours before EC meeting

The technical content developed under this project has been subsumed into the P802.1AX revision project and therefore this project has been abandoned by the WG.

MOTION

- 802.1 approves the response to the ITU liaison ols 358 (OTNT Standardization Work Plan).
- Proposed: Haddock Second: Parsons
- For_33____Against__0__Abstain__1__
- <http://ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2012/liaison-parsons-draft-response-ols-358-0712-v2.doc>

MOTION

- 802.1 approves the response to the ITU liaison ols 378 (Multipoint Protection Switching).
- Proposed: Haddock Second: Parsons
- For __23__ Against __0__ Abstain __6__
- <http://ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2012/liaison-many-draft-response-q9-15-mecp-0712-v02.doc>